9409 1:30pm MICHAEL K. JEANES, Clerk By L Muhammad Deputy

1 Terry Goddard Attorney General

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

CERTIFIED COPY

Firm Bar No. 14000
Rebecca Salisbury
Assistant Attorney General
State Bar No. 022006
1275 W. Washington Street
Phoenix, Arizona 85007-2997
Telephone: (602) 542-7757
Fax: (602) 542-4377
Consumer@azag.gov

Attorneys for the State of Arizona

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT FOR THE STATE OF ARIZONA IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF MARICOPA

| STATE OF ARIZONA, ex rel., TERRY GODDARD, Attorney General, and FELECIA A. ROTELLINI, Superintendent, Arizona Department of Financial Institutions, | Case No. CV2009-006810 CONSENT JUDGMENT |
|--|---|
| Plaintiffs, | (Assigned to the Honorable Joseph Heilman) |
| -VS- | |
| RICHARD WINER and COLLEEN WINER, husband and wife; TAKEN CARE OF INVESTMENTS, LLC; HOMEOWNER SOLUTIONS, LLC; BOURBON STREET PROPERTY MANAGEMENT, LLC; and FILIBUSTER, LLC; | |
| Defendants. | |

Plaintiffs, the State of Arizona and Felecia Rotellini, Superintendent, Arizona

Department of Financial Institutions, ("State"), having filed a complaint alleging

violations of the Arizona Consumer Fraud Act, A.R.S. § 44-1521 et seq., the Arizona

Debt Management Companies Act, A.R.S. §6-701 et seq., Arizona's Mortgage Broker
License Law, A.R.S. § 6-901, et seq. and Arizona's Mortgage Banker License Law,
A.R.S. § 6-943, et seq., and the Defendants Richard Winer; Colleen Winer; Taken Care
of Investments, LLC; Homeowner Solutions, LLC; Bourbon Street Property
Management, LLC and Filibuster, LLC ("Defendants") having waived service of the
Summons and Complaint; having been fully advised of their right to a trial in this matter
and, after receiving advice of counsel, having waived the same; having admitted that this
Court has jurisdiction over the subject matter and the parties for purposes of entry of this
Consent Judgment; having admitted to the Stipulated Findings of Fact and Conclusions of
Law; and having acknowledged that this Court retains jurisdiction for the purpose of
enforcing this Consent Judgment; the Court makes the following findings of fact and law
and enters the following orders.

I. PARTIES

- 1. Plaintiff State of Arizona, ex rel. Terry Goddard, is the Attorney General of the State of Arizona.
- 2. Plaintiff Felecia A. Rotellini is the Superintendent of the Arizona Department of Financial Institutions.
- 3. Defendants Richard Winer and Colleen Winer, husband and wife, are residents of Maricopa County, State of Arizona.
- 4. Defendant Colleen Winer is named herein solely because of her interest in the marital community of Richard and Colleen Winer.
- 5. Defendants Taken Care of Investments, LLC, Homeowner Solutions, LLC, Bourbon Street Property Management, LLC, and Filibuster, LLC, are Arizona limited

liability companies, located in Maricopa County, Arizona.

II. STIPULATED FINDING OF FACTS

- 6. The acts, omissions or other conduct of Defendants and their employees or agents described in these Stipulated Finding of Facts were undertaken for the furtherance of and on behalf of the marital community of Richard and Colleen Winer.
- 7. Defendants made one or more of the following false representations to homeowners facing foreclosure:
 - A. entering into a sale-leaseback/equitable mortgage transaction would help homeowners regain ownership of their home;
 - B. homeowners would successfully be able to repurchase their home at the end of the option period;
 - C. prompt and timely lease payments would improve the homeowners' credit history and allow them to refinance with an institutional lender in order to exercise their option to repurchase;
 - D. homeowners could sell their property at any time during the option period;
 - E. Defendants would not sell the property until the expiration of the buyback option period;
- 8. Defendants also represented that they had fully disclosed all pertinent information, while failing to disclose:
 - A. that homeowners' mortgage agreements prohibited them from entering into a sale-leaseback/equitable mortgage transaction; and/or
 - B. the information required by the Homeowners Equity Protection Act (HOEPA), which includes the annual percentage rate (APR), the amount financed and the total finance charge of the loan, notice of the right of rescission and the information required by the Federal Truth in Lending Act (TILA), which would have required Defendants to disclose the Annual

Percentage Rate (APR) of the mortgage, and the homeowner's right to rescind the transaction within three days of receiving notification of the right.

- 9. At the time the representations were made, Defendant Richard Winer and his employees or agents made the representations with such reckless disregard for the truth as to constitute willful misrepresentation and knew that their omission of material facts amounted to false representations;
 - 10. The representations and omissions were made with the intent to deceive;
 - 11. The homeowner reasonably relied on the representations and omissions; and
- 12. The homeowner suffered loss as a result of the misrepresentations and omissions.
- 13. Defendants misrepresented and/or omitted material facts with the intent that consumers rely on the misrepresentation or omission.
- 14. Defendants disclosed to any and all subsequent assignees of the properties all material facts, events, representations, omissions, surrounding circumstances, conditions and conversations surrounding the sale leaseback/equitable mortgage transactions.
- 15. Defendants received monthly payments from former homeowners and distributed them to mortgage lenders on the former homeowner's behalf.
- 16. Defendants, for compensation, made, or indirectly made, negotiated or offered to make or negotiate mortgage loans and mortgage banking loans, in the form of equitable mortgages, as defined by A.R.S. § 6-901 and § 6-941, without being licensed as a mortgage banker or mortgage broker.
- 17. The Parties intend this stipulation of fact to establish that the restitution award set forth in this Consent Judgment is a debt that is excepted from discharge under Bankruptcy Code provision 11 U.S.C.A. § 523(a)(2)(A).

III. CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

18. Defendants' acts and practices in connection with the purchase, leaseback and

- 19. While engaging in the acts set forth in the Findings of Facts herein,

 Defendants at all times knew or should have known that their conduct was of the nature prohibited by A.R.S. § 44-1522.
- 20. Defendants operated as debt management companies, mortgage bankers and mortgage brokers without having first applied for and obtained a license under Title 6, in violation of A.R.S. §§ 6-703, 6-909(B), and 6-943(A).

IV. INJUNCTION

- 21. The effective date of this Consent Judgment is the date it is signed by the Court.
- 22. This injunction applies to all Defendants, their employees, agents, successors, members, officers and directors, assigns and their successors, or any other person or business acting in concert with them, at their direction or on their behalf.
- 23. Defendants are removed and prohibited from further participation in any manner as a director, officer, employee, agent or other person in the conduct of the affairs of any financial institution or enterprise in the State of Arizona under the jurisdiction of the Arizona Department of Financial Institutions, pursuant to A.R.S. § 6-161. Defendants waive the notice and hearing provisions of A.R.S. § 6-161.
- 24. Defendants shall comply with the Arizona Consumer Fraud Act, A.R.S. § 44-1521, et. seq., as it is currently written, or as it is amended in the future.

V. PAYMENT

25. Defendants Richard Winer, the marital community of Richard and Colleen

Winer, Taken Care of Investments, LLC, Homeowner Solutions, LLC, Bourbon Street Property Management, LLC, and Filibuster, LLC, jointly and severally, shall pay to the 2 Arizona Attorney General the amount of \$150,000.00 in civil penalties to be used for 3 consumer fraud education and for investigative and enforcement operations of the consumer protection division in accordance with A.R.S. § 44-1531.01(C). This payment shall become due and payable within 60 days of the effective date of this Judgment.

1

7

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

- 26. Defendants Richard Winer, the marital community of Richard and Colleen Winer, Taken Care of Investments, LLC, Homeowner Solutions, LLC, Bourbon Street Property Management, LLC, and Filibuster, LLC, jointly and severally, shall pay to the Superintendent of the Arizona Department of Financial Institutions the amount of \$150,000.00 in civil penalties to be used for investigative proceedings, to include examination and supervision of the mortgage industry, or for purposes of instituting and prosecuting civil actions pursuant to Title 6, in accordance with A.R.S. § 6-135. This payment shall become due and payable within 60 days of the effective date of this Judgment.
- 27. Defendants Richard Winer, the marital community of Richard and Colleen Winer, Taken Care of Investments, LLC, Homeowner Solutions, LLC, Bourbon Street Property Management, LLC, and Filibuster, LLC, jointly and severally shall pay to the Arizona Attorney General the amount of \$391,500.00 for consumer restitution. This amount shall be distributed, on a pro rata basis, to those consumers who entered into sale-leaseback transactions with the Defendants, as reflected in the records of the Arizona Attorney General's Office. This amount shall be due and payable within 60 days of the effective date of this Judgment.
- 28. In the event that any portion of the restitution ordered herein cannot be distributed to eligible consumers, the excess amount shall be used for consumer fraud education and for investigative and enforcement operations of the consumer protection

- 29. The restitution funds shall be deposited in an interest bearing account.
- 30. Payments received from Defendants shall first satisfy the restitution portion of the judgment and then the civil penalty portion.
- 31. The sole and separate property of Colleen Winer is excepted and exempt from the civil penalty and the restitution judgment.

VI. GENERAL TERMS

- 32. The Parties have agreed to poluntary compromise of disputed claims, and the Parties have agreed on a basis for the settlement of these matters in dispute.
- 33. The State acknowledges by its execution hereof that this Consent Judgment constitutes a complete settlement of its allegations against Defendants and it agrees that it shall not institute any additional civil action against Defendants that is based upon the conduct described in the State's Complaint. Notwithstanding the foregoing, the State may institute an action or proceeding to enforce the terms and provisions of this Consent Judgment or to take action based on fugure conduct by the Defendants.
- 34. The Defendants shall not represent or imply that the Attorney General, the State, or any agency thereof, has approved any of their actions or has approved any of their present or future actions or practices, and the Defendants are enjoined from representing anything to the contrary.
- 35. This Consent Judgment may be modified or vacated by order of this Court. After providing at least thirty (30) days written notice and after making a good faith effort to obtain concurrence of the other party for the requested order to modify or vacate, which concurrence shall not be unreasonably withheld, the party seeking an order to modify or vacate may petition the Court therefore. The Court will modify or vacate this Consent Judgment upon a showing of good cause.
 - 36. Before initiating any proceeding to enforce this Consent Judgment, the

CERTIFIED COPY

Attorney General shall provide at least thirty (30) days written notice to Defendants of its intent to initiate such proceedings, and shall give Defendants a reasonable opportunity to cure any alleged violation. Whenever possible, the parties shall seek to resolve an alleged violation of this consent Judgment by discussion. The Attorney General shall give good faith consideration as to whether Defendants have taken corrective action designed to cause the claimed violation to be cured and to prevent future occurrences.

- 37. This Court retains jurisdiction of this matter for the purpose of entertaining an application by the State for the enforcement of this Consent Judgment.
- 38. This Consent Judgment is the result of a compromise and settlement agreement between the parties. Only the parties to this action may seek enforcement of this Consent Judgment. Nothing herein is intended to create a private right of action by other parties.
- 39. This Consent Judgment shall not limit the rights of any private party to pursue any remedies allowed by law.
- 40. Nothing herein prohibits the State from taking actions necessary to protect public health and safety as provided by applicable law.
- 41. If any portion of this Consent Judgment is held invalid by operation of law, the remaining terms thereof shall not be affected and shall remain in full force and effect.
- 42. Pursuant to Rule 54(b) of the Arizona Rules of Civil procedure, the Court has determined that there is no just reason for delay and hereby directs that this Judgment against Defendants be entered forthwith.

| DATED this 4th day | of September, | 2009 |
|--------------------|---------------|------|
|--------------------|---------------|------|

The foregoing instrument is a full, true and correct copy of the original document.

1

2

3

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

Attest Sept 4 20 09

MICHAEL K. JEANES, Clerk of the Superior Court of the State of Arizona, in and for the County of Maricopa.

By J Muhammad Deputy

Judge of the Superior Court

8

and Filibuster, LLC

CONSENT TO JUDGMENT

- 1. Defendants state that no promise of any kind or nature not contained in this Consent Judgment was made to induce them to enter into this Consent Judgment and that they have entered into the Consent Judgment voluntarily.
- 2. Defendants have fully read and understood this Consent Judgment, understand the legal consequences involved in signing it, assert that this is the entire agreement of the parties, and that there are no other representations or agreements not stated in writing herein, and no force, threats or coercion of any kind have been used to obtain its signature.
- 3. Defendants acknowledge that the State of Arizona's acceptance of this Consent Judgment is solely for the purpose of settling this litigation and does not preclude the Attorney General or any other agency or officer of this State or subdivision thereof, from institution other civil or criminal proceedings as may be appropriate now or in the future, other than the limitations expressed in paragraph 32.
- 4. Defendants represent and warrant that the person signing below on their behalf is duly appointed and authorized to do so.

Dated: 8/24/09

Dated: 8/24/09

Dated: 8/24/09

Leolleeuli

Colleen Winer

Taken Care of Investments, LLC,

Homeowner Solutions, LLC,

Bourbon Street Property Management, LLC,

M AND CONTENT

| 1 | |
|----|--|
| 2 | APPROVED AS TO FOR |
| 3 | |
| 4 | |
| 5 | TERRY GODDARD, Attorney General |
| 6 | Juliecen Ser |
| 7 | Rebecca Salisbury |
| 8 | Assistant Attorney General for State of Arizona and Superintenders |
| 9 | Felecia A. Rotellini |
| 10 | |
| 11 | |
| 12 | |
| 13 | |
| 14 | |
| 15 | 3 |
| 16 | |
| 17 | |
| 18 | |
| 19 | |
| 20 | |
| 21 | |
| 22 | ; |
| 23 | |

24

25

26

WHELAN LAW GOUP, PLC

Tom Whelan

Attorney for Defendants